



• All information provided on this document may be used for public notice and comment when required. Therefore, please be complete in your answers. The Purchasing Department will not approve documents which are deemed to lack sufficient information/evidence to support the request.

Granite School District

Purchasing and Warehouse Department

2500 South State Street, Salt Lake City, UT 84115-3110

Phone: 385-646-4518 Fax: 385-646-4209 www.graniteschools.org/purchasingwarehouse/

731506

AWARD OF CONTRACT WITHOUT ENGAGING IN A STANDARD PROCUREMENT PROCESS REQUEST FORM

Department/School Contact Information

Department/Division Name:	Olympus High School, Swim Department		
Contact Person and Title:	Thomas Thorum		
E-mail Address:	tmthorum@graniteschools.org	Telephone Number:	801-913-2786
Does the requestor or anyone at the requesting department/school have any personal, financial, or fiduciary relationship with the proposed vendor? No.			

Item or Service

Description of procurement item to be purchased:		Colorado Timing System and components
Estimated value of the contract/purchase order:		\$8,265.00
Freight Cost (F.O.B. Destination, Freight Prepaid):		\$188.00
<input type="checkbox"/>	Contract	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Insert requested term of contract: _____ and renewal options: _____ (The standard contract term is one year with potential renewal options up to five years. If the requested term will be longer than five years, written justification is required.) 2. The Department/School may not make the procurement until the solicitation process is completed and a contract is written and signed by the Department/School, the vendor, and the Purchasing Department.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Purchase Order	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. If the total purchase will be less than \$1,000.00 then the Department Administrator or Principal can review, approve and sign, this form. The form is to be kept with the purchase documents. No signature is required in the Purchasing Department signature fields. 2. If the total purchase will be between \$1,000.00 and \$4,999.99 the Department/School may not make the procurement until after the solicitation process is completed and this form is returned and signed by a Purchasing Agent or the Director of the Purchasing Department. 3. If the total purchase will be greater than \$5,000.00 the Department/School may not make the procurement until after the solicitation process is completed, the PO is signed by the Purchasing Department, and the PO is delivered to both the Department/School and the vendor.

Vendor Information

Vendor Name:	Colorado Time Systems (Vendor # 153898)
Vendor Contact Person:	Rick Connell
Vendor E-mail Address:	rick.connell@coloradotime.com
Vendor Telephone Number:	970-612-3585
Vendor Ordering Address:	1551 E. 11 th St., Loveland, CO 80537
Vendor Remittance Address:	1551 E. 11 th St., Loveland, CO 80537

All items in the above section are required.

Complete the following if no vendor number exists in QCC:

Vendor Federal Tax ID# (TIN): (9 Digits):	26-3030026
--------------------------------------------------	------------

Type of Vendor:	For-Profit Corporation
-----------------	------------------------

CHECK THE BOX THAT IDENTIFIES WHY A CONTRACT/PURCHASE ORDER SHOULD BE AWARDED WITHOUT ENGAGING IN A STANDARD PROCUREMENT PROCESS:		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	There is only one source for the procurement item.	Complete section A
<input type="checkbox"/>	Transitional costs are a significant consideration in selecting a procurement item and the results of a cost-benefit analysis demonstrate that transitional costs are unreasonable or cost-prohibitive, and that the award of a contract without engaging in a standard procurement process is in the best interest of the procurement unit	Complete section B
<input type="checkbox"/>	The award of a contract is under circumstances, described in rules adopted by the applicable rulemaking authority, that make awarding the contract through a standard procurement process impractical and not in the best interest of the procurement unit.	Complete section C

SECTION A: ONLY ONE SOURCE

1.	<p>What is unique about this procurement item to justify an award of contract without engaging in a standard procurement process? (Explain in detail why the service or product is only available from a single supplier.)</p> <p>Colorado Time Systems, an aquatic timing system for competitive swimming, is widely recognized as an economical and reliable choice. Most pools throughout the state, use the Colorado System because of the pricepoint and general dependability. It is likely the reason Granite School District initially invested in the Colorado Timing System and scoreboard when the pool was rebuilt for Olympus High School. Colorado Time Systems has patents on their products as well as their components and is not compatible with other products from different vendors. Other swim timing vendors have similar patents on their timing systems. Purchasing directly from the manufacturer provides a 2 year warranty as well as all the different components needed.</p> <p>Additionally, it is important to consider that the scoreboard purchased by Granite School District is a Colorado Time Systems scoreboard. Purchasing a different timing system from a different vendor would require purchasing a new scoreboard. Costs for scoreboards vary wildly, but for reference, a new scoreboard alone (a scoreboard for 8 lanes) may cost between \$9,000 to \$20,000.</p> <p>This means purchasing a new timing system along with all of its components for \$8,265.00 is less than the cost of a new scoreboard alone. If we did a new system, we would need to buy a new scoreboard, along with an entirely new timing system. Colorado Time Systems is the only manufacturer compatible with our scoreboard.</p> <p>Another factor to consider is the touch pads would also need to be replaced if we were to go with a new vendor. Touch pads are between \$1000 to \$3000 each.</p>
2.	<p>Could the procurement item be reasonably modified to allow for competition?</p> <p>No. It is a patented item and sold by Colorado Time Systems</p>
3.	<p>Explain the market research performed.</p> <p>Multiple online searches on scoreboards, timing systems, discussions with other teams and bid requests.</p>
4.	<p>What research have you conducted to ensure the requested procurement item is not available on an existing district or state cooperative contract?</p> <p>The timing equipment is maintained and used by the individual school, not the district..</p>
5.	<p>If there is only one source for the procurement item, list the names of other similar vendors contacted, contact person, and a summary of their response,</p> <p>1) Daktronics 2) Swiss Timing 3) Wylas</p> <p>Because of the cost of a new scoreboard, we did not do extensive research on timing systems with other vendors. Online research indicates Daktronics and Swiss Timing have timing systems that are more expensive than Colorado Time Systems. Wylas has a slightly less expensive timing system, but does not have the reputation of the other three companies in dependability.</p> <p>If we went with a new vendor in addition to needing to buy a timing system, we would need to buy:</p>

- 1) A new scoreboard.
- 2) Installment of a new scoreboard.
- 2) Training for a new system
- 3) New touch pads



SECTION B: TRANSITIONAL COSTS – If you have selected Section B you must also complete Attachment A – Cost Benefit Analysis

1.	Describe the existing equipment, technology, software, accessories, replacement parts, or service, hereafter referred to as equipment; include the original purchase price and date of purchase for the existing equipment.
2.	Please supply the following: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Procurement method that was used to purchase the existing equipment? (IFB, RFP, Sole Source): b. Bid number, P.O. #, Requisition # , or sole source number for the existing equipment: c. Contract number for the existing equipment:
3.	Complete "Attachment A - Cost-Benefit Analysis", as required by Utah Code Section 63G-6a-802 that demonstrates that transitional costs are unreasonable or cost-prohibitive.

SECTION C: STANDARD PROCUREMENT PROCESS IMPRACTICABLE

1.	Cite the applicable rule adopted by the applicable rulemaking authority that provides that awarding the contract through a standard procurement process is impractical and not in the best interest of the procurement unit under the circumstances; including any supporting documentation. R33-8-101c (1)(c)
2.	Please supply the following, if applicable: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Procurement method that was used to purchase the existing equipment? (IFB, RFP, Sole Source): b. Bid number, P.O. #, Requisition #, or sole source number for the existing equipment: c. Contract number for the existing equipment

Approvals

Approved (less than \$1,000.00) or Requested by (greater than \$1,000.00):	
	9/13/23
Department/School Administrator	Date
Approved by Purchasing Agent for Award (less \$25,000.00):	
	9.13.23 9.13.23
Purchasing Agent	Date
Approved by Director for Award (greater than \$25,000.00):	
Director of Purchasing	Date